Case Number: A-20-824636-C

Case 2:20-cv-02207-KJD-NJK Document 1-2 Filed 12/07/20

Page 1 of 7
Electronically Filed
11/12/2020 12:24 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 1. That at all times mentioned herein, upon information and belief, Plaintiff, PATRICIA A. RAMSEY, was and is a resident of Clark County, Nevada.
- 2. That at all times mentioned herein, upon information and belief, Defendant P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO, INC., a Foreign Corporation, was and is authorized to do and doing business as P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO in Clark County, Nevada.
- 3. That P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO, INC. d/b/a P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO, is believed to be the owner, operator, lessor, and/or manager of the property located at 1095 S. Rampart Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (hereinafter "Subject Restaurant").
- 4. That Plaintiff is informed and believes, that on or about November 24, 2018, Defendant P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO, INC. d/b/a P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO is believed to be the owner, co-owner, operator, lessor, lessee and/or manager of the property located at 1095 S. Rampart Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 ("Subject Restaurant").
- 5. That the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, association or otherwise of the Defendant, DOES I through X and/or ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES XI through XX, are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that each of the Defendant designated herein as DOES and/or ROES is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, and in some manner caused the injuries and damages proximately thereby to the Plaintiff, as herein alleged; that the Plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said Defendants, DOES I through X and/or ROE BUSINESS ENTITIES XI through XX inclusive, when the same have been ascertained by Plaintiff, together with the appropriate charging allegations, and to join such Defendant in this action.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief, alleges that each of the Defendants herein designated as DOES and ROES are in some manner, responsible for the occurrences and injuries sustained and alleged herein.
- 7. That Plaintiff is informed and believes, that on or about November 24, 2018, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents and/or servants and/or employees and/or partners

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and/or	joint	ventur	ers	and/or	employe	ers of the rema	nining Defen	dant	s and	were act	ing v	vithin	th
course	and	scope	of	such	agency,	employment,	partnership	or :	joint	venture	and	with	th
knowle	edge a	and cor	nsen	t of th	e remain	ing Defendant	S.						

- 8. On or about November 24, 2018, Plaintiff PATRICIA A. RAMSEY, was a patron of P.F. CHANG'S CHINA BISTRO located at 1095 S. Rampart Blvd., Las Vegas, Nevada 89145. As Plaintiff was walking through the Subject Restaurant toward the restroom, she slipped and fell on a foreign substance on the floor, resulting in serious and permanent bodily injuries.
- 9. That all the facts and circumstances that give rise to the subject lawsuit occurred in Clark County, Nevada.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence - Premises Liability)

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and every allegation made in the preceding and ensuing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 11. At all times mentioned, Defendants were the owners, managers, supervisors, maintainers, operators, and controllers of the premises and common areas of the Subject Restaurant.
- 12. Defendants, and each of them, were responsible for and maintained exclusive control over the day-to-day operations of the Subject Restaurant.
- 13. Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to maintain that a safe, hazard-free floor area for the general public, including Plaintiff, at the time and location of the incident.
- 14. Defendants, and each of them, breached said duty by failing inspect and maintain a safe, hazard-free condition at the time and location where Plaintiff was injured. Additionally, Defendants negligently failed to inform Plaintiff of dangerous and hazardous conditions.
- 15. Defendants had actual and/or constructive notice of the hazardous and dangerous condition prior to the time the incident occurred. Defendants knew or should have known that an unreasonably dangerous and hazardous condition existed at the Subject Restaurant being patronized by Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	16.	Employers, masters and principals are vicariously liable for the torts committee
by thei	ir emplo	byees, servants and agents if the tort occurs while the employee, servant or agen
was ac	ting in t	he course and scope of employment.

- 17. Defendants were the employers, masters and principals of each other, the remaining Defendants, and other employees, agents, independent contractors and/or representatives who negligently failed to maintain a safe and hazard-free premises for the general public, including Plaintiff.
- 18. At all times mentioned herein, Defendants and other employees named as DOE/ROE Defendants, and each of them, were employees and/or agents of one or all of Defendants and were acting within the scope of their employment.
- 19. Any and all liability of Defendants' employees and/or agents, is imputed to Defendants, and each of them, under the doctrine of respondent superior and/or N.R.S. 41.130, which states:

Except as otherwise provided in N.R.S. 41.745, whenever any person shall suffer personal injury by wrongful act, neglect or default of another, the person causing the injury is liable to the person injured for damages; and where the person causing the injury is employed by another person or corporation responsible for his conduct, that person or corporation so responsible is liable to the person injured for damages.

- 20. Accordingly, Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously liable for the damages caused by their employees' actions and negligence, further encompassing the actions of those hired by Defendants to maintain the premises.
- Due to the hazardous condition, and as a direct and proximate result of the 21. conduct of the Defendants, Plaintiff was caused to be hurt and sustained serious injury to her respective health, strength and well-being, sustain severe and permanent injury to body, and shock and injury to the nervous system and person, and pain, suffering, anxiety, and emotion distress, all of which have caused Plaintiff, and will continue to cause Plaintiff in the future, severe mental, physical, nervous pain and suffering, and loss of enjoyment of life and have caused Plaintiff to suffer general damages in excess of \$15,000.00.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

22. As a further actual and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff
was required to, and did, employ physicians and other health care providers to examine, treat,
and care for her and did incur medical and incidental expenses thereby. Plaintiff also suffered
loss of income and household services, and in the future Plaintiff will lose income and/or earning
capacity and household services. The exact amount of such expenses is unknown at the present
time, but Plaintiff alleges that she has suffered special damages in excess of \$15,000.00.

23. Plaintiff has been required to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees, interests, and costs incurred herein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Hiring, Training, Retention, and Supervision)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by this reference each and every allegation made in the preceding and ensuing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty of due and reasonable care to properly and adequately hire, train, retain, and supervise their employees, agents, managers, officers, directors, independent contractors and/or servants, including, but not limited to, DOE/ROE Defendants, so as to avoid unreasonable risk of harm to the general public, invitees, patrons, and business invitees of the Subject Restaurant.
- 26. Defendants, and each of them, were responsible for and maintained exclusive control over the day-to-day operations of the Subject Restaurant.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendants breached their duty by failing to adequately hire, supervise, retain, and/or train their employees, agents, managers, officers, directors, independent contractors and/or servants, including, but not limited to, DOE/ROE Defendants.
- 28. As a result of Defendants' failure to adequately hire, supervise retain and/or train their employees, agents, managers, officers, directors, independent contractors and/or servants, including but not limited to, DOE/ROE Defendants, and each of them, were placed in positions for which they were unsuitable and/or inadequately trained.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' and/or DOE/ROE Defendants
negligence as alleged herein, Plaintiff was caused to be hurt and sustain injury to her respective
health, strength and well-being, sustain severe and permanent injury to body, and shock and
injury to the nervous system and person, and pain, suffering, anxiety, and emotional distress, al
of which have caused Plaintiff, and will continue to cause Plaintiff in the future, severe mental
physical, nervous pain and suffering, and loss of enjoyment of life and have caused Plaintiff to
suffer general damages in excess of \$15,000.00.

- 30. As a further actual and proximate result of the aforementioned negligence of Defendants, Plaintiff was required to, and did, employ physicians and other health care providers to examine, treat, and care for her and did incur medical and incidental expenses thereby. Plaintiff also suffered loss of income and household services, and in the future Plaintiff will lose income and/or earning capacity and household services. The exact amount of such expenses is unknown at present time, but Plaintiff alleges that she has suffered special damages in excess of \$15,000.00.
- 31. Plaintiff been required to retain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action and is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, interest, and costs incurred herein.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

32. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. General damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00;
- 2. Special damages in an amount in excess of \$15,000.00;
- 3. Medical and incidental expenses incurred and to be incurred;
- 4. Damages for lost earnings and earning capacity, and future earning capacity;
- 5. Damages for lost past and future household services;
- 6. Damages for past and future pain, suffering, disfigurement, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life;

Case 2:20-cv-02207-KJD-NJK Document 1-2 Filed 12/07/20 Page 7 of 7

CHRISTIANSEN LAW OFFICES 810 S. Casino Center Blvd., Suite 104 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-240-7979 • Fax 866-412-6992

7.	Costs of suit, reasonable attorney fees, interest incurred herein; and for such other
	and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated this 12th day of November, 2020.

CHRISTIANSEN LAW OFFICES

ByPETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ. R. TODD TERRY, ESQ. WHITNEY J. BARRETT, ESQ. KEELY A. PERDUE, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiff